arXiv:1003.0947v1 [math.AP] 4 Mar 2010

On reconstruction of inclusions in a heat conductive body from dynamical boundary data over a finite time interval

Masaru IKEHATA*and Mishio KAWASHITA†

January 19, 2010

Abstract

The *enclosure method* was originally introduced for inverse problems of concerning non destructive evaluation governed by elliptic equations. It was developed as one of useful approach in inverse problems and applied for various equations. In this article, an application of the enclosure method to an inverse initial boundary value problem for a parabolic equation with a discontinuous coefficients is given. A simple method to extract the *depth* of unknown inclusions in a heat conductive body from a *single set* of the temperature and heat flux on the boundary observed over a *finite* time interval is introduced. Other related results with *infinitely many* data are also reported. One of them gives the *minimum radius* of the open ball centered at a given point that contains the inclusions. The formula for the minimum radius is newly discovered.

AMS: 35R30, 80A23
KEY WORDS: inverse initial boundary value problem, parabolic equation, discontinuity, thermal imaging, inclusion, enclosure method, modified Helmholtz equation

1 Introduction

Assume that we have a set of the pair of the temperature field on the boundary of a heat conductive body and the corresponding heat flux across the boundary of the body over a finite time interval. A part in the body that has a different conductivity from the known reference one is called an *inclusion*. In this paper we consider the problem: what information about inclusions in the body can one extract from the set? The solution to this problem may have possible application to non destructive evaluation by *thermal imaging*. We study this problem from a mathematical point of view and aim at seeking an analytical approach for extracting information about the location and shape of the inclusions.

---

[*] Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Engineering, Gunma University, Kiryu 376-8515, JAPAN

[†] Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Sciences, Hiroshima University, Higashi Hiroshima 739-8526, JAPAN

Let Ω be a bounded domain of $\mathbf{R}^3$ with a smooth boundary. We denote the unit outward normal vectors to $\partial\Omega$ by the symbol ν. Let T be an arbitrary *fixed* positive number.

Given $f = f(x,t)$, $(x,t) \in \partial\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[$ let $u = u(x,t)$ be the solution of the initial boundary value problem for the parabolic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &u_t - \nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla u = 0 \text{ in}\,\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[,\\ &\gamma\nabla u\cdot\nu = f \text{ on}\,\partial\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[,\\ &u(x,0) = 0 \text{ in}\,\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(x) = (\gamma_{ij}(x))$ satisfies

(G1) for each $i,j = 1,2,3$ $\gamma_{ij}(x) = \gamma_{ji}(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$;

(G2) there exists a positive constant C such that $\gamma(x)\xi\cdot\xi \ge C|\xi|^2$ for all $\xi\in\mathbf{R}^3$ and a. e. $x\in\Omega$.

This paper is concerned with the extraction of information about "discontinuity" of γ from u and $\gamma\nabla u\cdot\nu$ on $\partial\Omega\times]0,\,T[$ for some f and an arbitrary fixed $T<\infty$. However, we do not consider completely general γ. Instead we assume that there exists an open set D with a smooth boundary such that $\overline{D}\subset\Omega$ and $\gamma(x)$ a.e. $x\in\Omega\setminus D$ coincides with the 3×3 identity matrix I_3 and satisfies one of the following two conditions:

(A1) there exists a positive constant C' such that $-(\gamma(x)-I_3)\xi\cdot\xi \ge C'|\xi|^2$ for all $\xi\in\mathbf{R}^3$ and a.e. $x\in D$;

(A2) there exists a positive constant C' such that $(\gamma(x)-I_3)\xi\cdot\xi \ge C'|\xi|^2$ for all $\xi\in\mathbf{R}^3$ and a.e. $x\in D$.

Write $h(x) = \gamma(x) - I_3$ a.e. $x\in D$. In this paper we consider the following problem:

Inverse Problem. Assume that both D and h are *unknown.* Extract information about the location and shape of D from a set of the pair of temperature $u(x,t)$ and heat flux $f(x,t)$ for $(x,t)\in\,\partial\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[$.

The D is a model of the union of unknown *inclusions* where the heat conductivity is anisotropic, different from that of the surrounding homogeneous isotropic conductive medium. The problem is a mathematical formulation of a typical inverse problem in thermal imaging.

Elayyan-Isakov [4] investigated the *uniqueness issue* of this type of problem. As a corollary of their uniqueness theorem we know that the lateral Neumann-to Dirichlet map: $f\longmapsto u|_{\partial\Omega\times]0,\,T[}$ uniquely determines D together with h inside D if $\Omega\setminus\overline{D}$ is connected and h is given by bI_3 with a smooth function b on $\overline{D}$. However, their purpose is to recover the *full information* about the location and shape of D and for the purpose their proof requires *infinitely many pairs* of the temperature and heat flux on $\partial\Omega\times]0,\,T[$ even just for determining a single point on ∂D. This shows a difficulty of obtaining the detailed image of inclusions from boundary measurements. Note also that in [1, 2] an approach to Inverse Problem in a one-space dimensional case which is based on the idea of the *probe method* introduced by Ikehata [6] has been proposed. However, the procedure is quite complicated compared with the original probe method and needs huge number of measurements.

In this paper we mainly seek a simpler method that yields a *partial* or *rough* information about the location and shape of D from $u(x,t)$ on $\partial\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[$ for a single *fixed* heat flux or *explicit* heat fluxes prescribed on $\partial\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[$. We think that this type of study provides us with a knowledge about *good* heat fluxes on the boundary of the body to get such an information. In [11] we have already developed an argument based on the *enclosure method* which was originally introduced for elliptic equations in [7, 8] to derive two types of formulae in the case when the inclusion has the *zero conductivity*, that is a *cavity*. The argument yields the values of the support function of the cavity at a given direction and the distance of a given point outside the body to the cavity from the temperature fields and special explicit heat fluxes. In this paper, we will see that the argument also works for the inclusion case and yields also a new information: the *minimum radius* of the open ball centered at a given point that contains the inclusions.

The main new point of this paper is: an introduction of another argument which is also based on the enclosure method and gives a formula which has not been considered in [11]. It makes use of a single set of a general heat flux and the corresponding temperature field on the surface of the body over a *finite time interval*. It yields a *depth* of unknown inclusions in a heat conductive body from the surface of the body. We do not prescribe any explicit heat flux on the surface of the body, instead assume a standing behaviour for a given heat flux.

Note that in Theorem 2.1 of [9] the enclosure method has been applied to a one-space dimensional version of Inverse Problem. Therein *complex* exponential solutions of the backward heat equation with a large parameter are used. In this paper we use *only* real exponential solutions.

1.1 A formula with a general heat flux

The new point of this paper is a derivation of the following formula which can be considered as the main result of this paper. It makes use of a single set of a heat flux and the corresponding temperature on $\partial\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[$ and gives a pre-knowledge about the location of inclusions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f=f(x,t)$ satisfy: there exists a $\mu\in\mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$0<\inf_{x\in\partial\Omega}\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\mu}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}f(x,t)dt\le\sup_{x\in\partial\Omega}\limsup_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\mu}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}f(x,t)dt<\infty \tag{1.2}$$

and that the function

$$\partial\Omega\ni x\longmapsto g(x;\tau)\equiv\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}f(x,t)dt$$

is continuous.

Let $u = u_f(x,t)$ be the weak solution of (1.1) for this f and let $v = v_g(x;\tau)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned}&(\triangle - \tau)v = 0 \ in\,\Omega,\\ &\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = g(x;\tau) \ on\,\partial\Omega.\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Then, there exists a $\tau_0 > 0$ such that

- *if (A1) is satisfied, then for all $\tau \ge \tau_0$*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v_g(x;\tau)f(x,t) - u_f(x,t)g(x;\tau)\right)dtdS < 0;$$

- *if (A2) is satisfied, then for all $\tau \ge \tau_0$*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v_g(x;\tau)f(x,t) - u_f(x,t)g(x;\tau)\right)dtdS > 0.$$

In both cases the formula

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}}\log\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v_g(x;\tau)f(x,t) - u_f(x,t)g(x;\tau)\right)dtdS\right| = -dist(D,\partial\Omega), \tag{1.4}$$

is valid, where

$$dist(D,\partial\Omega) = \inf\{|y-x|\,|\,y \in \partial\Omega,\, x \in\, D\}.$$

Note that Varadhan [14] considered the asymptotic behaviour as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$ of the solution of the problem

$$(\triangle - \tau)v = 0 \text{ in}\,\Omega,$$

$$v = 1 \text{ on}\,\partial\Omega.$$

He used the behaviour to establish the short time asymtotics of the *heat kernel*. See also [13] and references therein for the subject itself. Theorem 1.1 shows that this type of solutions can be applied to inverse initial boundary value problems for parabolic equations over a *finite* time interval.

In [10] Ikehata considered an inverse obstacle scattering problem whose governing equation is given by the wave equation in three dimensions. The observation data are given by a wave field measured on a known surface surrounding unknown obstacles over a *finite* time interval. The wave is generated by an initial data with compact support outside the surface. Applying the idea of the enclosure method, he established an extraction formula of the distance from a given point outside the surface to obstacles from the data. To establish the formula he made use of the solution $v \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$ of the inhomogeneous modified Helmholtz equation

$$(\triangle - \tau^2)v + f(x) = 0 \text{ in}\,\mathbf{R}^3,$$

where $f(x)$ is an initial data of the wave field. Thus the equations in (1.3) correspond to this equation. However, in contrast to the solution of this equation, that of (1.3) has not an explicit form in general. In this paper, we solve (1.3) by using the potential theory and study its behaviour as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$ to get a necessary estimate.

1.2 Other three formulae with special heat fluxes

If one uses special heat fluxes, then one can explicitly obtain more information about the location and shape of D. The idea for the derivation of the following formulae come from [11].

The second result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Given $\omega \in S^2$ let f be the function of $(x,t) \in \partial\Omega\times]0,\,T[$ having a parameter $\tau > 0$ defined by the equation*

$$f(x,t;\tau) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x;\tau)\varphi(t), \tag{1.5}$$

where $v(x;\tau) = e^{\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega}$ and $\varphi \in L^2(0,\,T)$ satisfying the condition: there exists $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} \tau^{\mu} \left|\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\varphi(t)dt\right| > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Let $u_f = u_f(x,t)$ be the weak solution of (1.1) for $f = f(x,t;\tau)$ and $h_D(\omega) = \sup_{x\in D} x\cdot\omega$. Then the formula

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}} \log\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x;\tau)f(x,t;\tau) - u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x;\tau)\right)dtdS\right| = h_D(\omega), \tag{1.7}$$

is valid.

Note that: if $\varphi(t)$ is smooth on $[0,\,T'[$ with $0 < T' \le T$ and $t = 0$ is not a zero point with infinite order of $\varphi(t)$, then (1.6) is satisfied for an appropriate $\mu > 0$.

Next we choose a third solution of the equation $(\triangle - \tau)v = 0$ in Ω: given $p \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$

$$v(x;\tau) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}}{|x-p|},\ x \in \Omega.$$

Using this v, we obtain the third formula.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $p \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and replace v of f in (1.5) with the v above. Let $u_f = u_f(x,t)$ be the weak solution of (1.1) for this $f = f(x,t;\tau,p)$. Then assuming (1.6), one has the formula*

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}} \log\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x;\tau)f(x,t;\tau,p) - u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x;\tau)\right)dtdS\right| = -d_D(p), \tag{1.8}$$

where $d_D(p)$ denotes the distance from p to D,

$$d_D(p) = \inf\{|y-p|\,|\,y \in D\}.$$

Finally we introduce another formula which is also new and not given in [11]. Let $y \in \mathbf{R}^3$ be an *arbitrary* fixed point. We choose the function v given by

$$v(x;\tau) = \frac{e^{\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|} - e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|}}{|x-y|},\ x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{y\}$$

and $v(x;\tau)=2\sqrt{\tau}$ at $x=y$. Note that the $v(x;\tau)$ is smooth as the function of x and satisfies the modified Helmholtz equation in the whole space. Hence we can choose the reference point $y\in\mathbf{R}^3$ without any restriction. Note that Theorem 1.3 gives $d_D(p)$; however, we have to take $p\in\mathbf{R}^3\setminus\overline{\Omega}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $y\in\mathbf{R}^3$ and replace v of f in (1.5) with the v above. Let $u_f=u_f(x,t)$ be the weak solution of (1.1) for $f=f(x,t;\tau,y)$. Then assuming (1.6), one has the formula*

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}}\log\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x;\tau)f(x,t;\tau,y)-u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x;\tau)\right)dtdS\right|=R_D(y),\quad (1.9)$$

where $R_D(y)=\sup_{x\in D}|x-y|$.

The theorem above makes use of a smooth solution of the modified Helmholtz equation that grows every points as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$. The function $R_D(y)$, $y\in\Omega$ is a new comer and gives the minimum radius of the ball centered at y that contains D. Moreover we have the estimate of D from above as

$$D\subset\cap_{y\in\mathbf{R}^3}\{x\in\mathbf{R}^3\,|\,|x-y|<R_D(y)\}.$$

1.3 Construction of the paper

A brief outline of this paper is as follows. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Subsection 2.3 after formulating the notion of the weak solution of (1.1) together with a related estimate in subsection 2.1. The proof is based on an integral identity which is described in subsection 2.2. Using the identity, we give an asymptotic representation formula of the integral

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x;\tau)f(x,t)-u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x;\tau)\right)dtdS$$

whose leading term is given by using two Neumann-to-Dirichlet maps for the operators $\triangle-\tau$ and $\nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla-\tau$ in Ω. Then with a help of a system of integral inequalities [10] which is widely used in previous applications of the enclosure method to elliptic equations [8] we see that the problem is reduced to giving some asymptotic estimates for the integral of the gradient of v_g over D. In some sense, this is an *indirect* verification of the hypothesis: $v_g(x;\tau)\sim e^{-\sqrt{\tau}d_{\partial\Omega}(x)}$ as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$. The estimates are stated in subsection 2.3 and their proof is given in subsection 2.4. It is based on the integral representation of v_g with a single layer potential over $\partial\Omega$. The proof of Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 can be done along with the same line as [11] in which the case when ∂D is perfectly insulated is considered. For reader's convenience we describe an outline of the proof in section 3. In Appendix we give detailed proofs of four claims used in subsection 2.4.

2 Extracting depth

2.1 Preliminaries about the direct problem

In this subsection, following [3] we describe what we mean by the solution (1.1). The presentation here is almost parallel to subsection 2.1 in [11].

We put $W(0,\,T;H^1(\Omega),(H^1(\Omega))')=\{u\in L^2(0,\,T;H^1(\Omega))\,|\,u'\in L^2(0,\,T;(H^1(\Omega))')\}$. Given $f\in L^2(0,\,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))$ we say that $u\in W(0,\,T;H^1(\Omega),(H^1(\Omega))')$ satisfy

$$\begin{array}{c}\partial_t u-\nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla u=0\ \text{in}\,\Omega\times]0,\,T[,\\ \\ \gamma\nabla u\cdot\nu=f\ \text{on}\,\partial\Omega\times]0,\,T[\end{array}\tag{2.1}$$

in the weak sense if the u satisfies

$$<u'(t),\varphi>+\int_{\Omega}\gamma(x)\nabla u(x,t)\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)dx=<f(t),\,\varphi|_{\partial\Omega}>\ \text{in}\,(0,\,T),\tag{2.2}$$

in the sense of distribution on $(0,\,T)$ for all $\varphi\in H^1(\Omega)$ and a.e. $t\in]0,\,T[$. We see that every $u\in W(0,\,T;H^1(\Omega),(H^1(\Omega))')$ is almost everywhere equal to a continuous function of $[0,\,T]$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ (Theorem 1 on p.473 in [3]). Further, we have:

$$W(0,\,T;H^1(\Omega),(H^1(\Omega))')\hookrightarrow C^0([0,\,T];L^2(\Omega)),\tag{2.3}$$

the space $C^0([0,\,T];L^2(\Omega))$ being equipped with the norm of uniform convergence. Thus one can consider $u(0)$ and $u(T)$ as elements of $L^2(\Omega)$. Then we see that given $u_0\in L^2(\Omega)$ there exists a unique u such that u satisfies (2.1) in the weak sense and satisfies the initial condition $u(0)=u_0$ (Theorems 1 and 2 on p.512 in [3]).

Let $u_0=0$. Remark 2 on p.512 and Theorem 3 on p.520 in [3] yields the continuity of u on f: there exists a $C_T>0$ independent of f such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}\le C_T\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))}.\tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, from (2.2) and (2.4) we have

$$\|u'\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)')}\le C_T\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))}.$$

This together with (2.3) and (2.4) yields one of the important estimates in the enclosure method:

$$\|u(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\le C_T\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))}.\tag{2.5}$$

In the following subsection we denote by u_f the weak solution of (2.1) with $u(0)=0$ and this is the meaning of the weak solution of (1.1).

2.2 A basic identity

Define

$$w_f(x;\tau)=\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}u_f(x,t)dt,\ x\in\Omega$$

and

$$g_f(x;\tau)=\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}f(x,t)dt,\ x\in\partial\Omega,$$

where $\tau>0$ is a parameter. This type of transform has been used in the study [9] for the corresponding problem in a one-space dimensional case.

In this subsection we derive an identity that connects the data for the parabolic equation with the Cauchy data of the solutions of the modified Helmholtz type equations.

The $w_f = w$ satisfies

$$(\nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla-\tau)w = e^{-\tau T}u_f(x,T)\ \text{in}\,\Omega,$$

$$\gamma\nabla w\cdot\nu = g_f\ \text{on}\,\partial\Omega.$$

Let $v = v(x)$ satisfy $(\triangle-\tau)v = 0$ in Ω. Integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(g_f v - w_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dS = \int_\Omega(\gamma-I_3)\nabla v\cdot\nabla w_f dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_\Omega u_f(x,T)v(x)dx. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $p_f = p$ be the unique solution of the boundary value problem:

$$(\nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla-\tau)p = 0\ \text{in}\,\Omega,$$

$$\gamma\nabla p\cdot\nu = g_f\ \text{on}\,\partial\Omega.$$

Set $\epsilon_f = w_f - p_f$. Since we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(g_f v - p_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dS = \int_\Omega(\gamma-I_3)\nabla v\cdot\nabla p_f dx,$$

from (2.6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(g_f v - w_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega}\left(g_f v - p_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dS\\ &+\int_\Omega(\gamma-I_3)\nabla v\cdot\nabla\epsilon_f dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_\Omega u_f(x,T)v(x)dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Note that $\epsilon_f = \epsilon$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla-\tau)\epsilon &= e^{-\tau T}u_f(x,T)\ \text{in}\,\Omega,\\ \gamma\nabla\epsilon\cdot\nu &= 0\ \text{on}\,\partial\Omega.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Let $R_{I_3}(\tau)$ and $R_\gamma(\tau)$ denote the Neumann-to-Dirichlet maps on $\partial\Omega$ for the operators $\triangle-\tau$ and $\nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla-\tau$ in Ω, respectively. We have

$$R_{I_3}(\tau)\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}|_{\partial\Omega}\right) = v|_{\partial\Omega},\ R_\gamma(\tau)g_f = p_f|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Since both $R_{I_3}(\tau)$ and $R_\gamma(\tau)$ are symmetric, we obtain from (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(g_f v - w_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega}g_f(R_{I_3}(\tau)-R_\gamma(\tau))\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}|_{\partial\Omega}\right)dS\\ &+\int_\Omega(\gamma-I_3)\nabla v\cdot\nabla\epsilon_f dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_\Omega u_f(x,T)v(x)dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

This is our basic identity. In the proof of Theorems 1.1 to 1.4 we show that, in some sense, one can ignore the second and third terms of this right-hand side. Thus the basic identity provides us a relationship between the boundary data for the parabolic equation over a finite time interval and the Cauchy data for the modified Helmholtz type equations.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since the ϵ satisfies (2.8), one gets

$$\|\nabla\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le Ce^{-\tau T}\|u_f(\,\cdot\,,T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{2.10}$$

where C is a positive constant. Since f is independent of τ, it follows from (2.5) and (2.10) that $\|\nabla\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = O(e^{-\tau T})$ as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$.

Now substitute $v = v_g$ into (2.9). From (1.2) and (1.3) one gets $\|v_g(\,\cdot\,;\tau)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = O(\tau^{-\mu})$ as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$. From these one gets the estimate on the second and third term in (2.9) as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$:

$$\int_\Omega (\gamma - I_3)\nabla v_g \cdot \nabla\epsilon_f dx + e^{-\tau T}\int_\Omega u_f(x,T)v_g dx = O(\tau^{-\mu}e^{-\tau T}).$$

Note that this is a very rough estimate, however, for our purpose it is enough; at this step we never make use of the assumption that $\gamma(x) = I_3$ outside D.

Summing up, we have obtained the asymptotic formula:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (gv_g - w_f g)\, dS = \int_{\partial\Omega} g(R_{I_3}(\tau) - R_\gamma(\tau))g dS + O(\tau^{-\mu}e^{-\tau T}). \tag{2.11}$$

The following system of inequalities is quite useful to give an estimation of the first term of this right-hand side.

Proposition 2.1. *Let γ_0 and γ satisfy (G1) and (G2). Let u solve*

$$\nabla\cdot\gamma\nabla u - \tau u = 0 \ in\,\Omega,$$

$$\gamma\nabla u\cdot\nu = g \ on\,\partial\Omega$$

and v

$$\nabla\cdot\gamma_0\nabla v - \tau v = 0 \ in\,\Omega,$$

$$\gamma_0\nabla v\cdot\nu = g \ on\,\partial\Omega.$$

Then it holds that

$$\int_\Omega (\gamma_0^{-1} - \gamma^{-1})\gamma_0\nabla v\cdot\gamma_0\nabla v dx \le \int_{\partial\Omega} g(v-u)dS \le \int_\Omega (\gamma - \gamma_0)\nabla v\cdot\nabla v dx. \tag{2.12}$$

For the proof see [5]. In the present situation $\gamma_0(x) \equiv I_3$ and $\gamma(x) = I_3$ a.e. $x \in \Omega\setminus D$ and thus from (2.12) we obtain

$$\int_D (I_3 - \gamma^{-1})\nabla v_g\cdot\nabla v_g dx \le \int_{\partial\Omega} g(R_{I_3}(\tau) - R_\gamma(\tau))g dS \le \int_D (\gamma - I_3)\nabla v_g\cdot\nabla v_g dx. \tag{2.13}$$

Here we describe a key lemma whose proof is given in the next subsection.

Lemma 2.1. *There exist real numbers λ_1 and λ_2 independent of τ such that*

$$\limsup_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} \tau^{\lambda_1}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}\,dist(D,\partial\Omega)}\int_D |\nabla v_g|^2 dx < \infty \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\lambda_2}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}\,dist\,(D,\partial\Omega)}\int_D|\nabla v_g|^2dx>0. \tag{2.15}$$

From the proof one can choose $\lambda_1=2\mu-1$ and $\lambda_2=2\mu+5/2$, however, the exact values of λ_1, λ_2 are not important for the derivation of formula (1.4) itself.

From (2.11), (2.13) and (2.14) one gets

$$\limsup_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\lambda_1}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}\text{dist}\,(D,\partial\Omega)}\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}(gv_g-w_fg)\,dS\right|<\infty. \tag{2.16}$$

Now consider the case when (A1) is satisfied. It follows from the right half of (2.13) and (2.15) that

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\lambda_2}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}\text{dist}(D,\partial\Omega)}\left(-\int_{\partial\Omega}g(R_{I_3}(\tau)-R_{\gamma}(\tau))gdS\right)>0.$$

This together with (2.11) gives

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\lambda_2}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}\text{dist}(D,\partial\Omega)}\left(-\int_{\partial\Omega}(gv_g-w_fg)\,dS\right)>0. \tag{2.17}$$

This implies also that there exists a $\tau_0>0$ such that for all $\tau\ge\tau_0$

$$-\int_{\partial\Omega}(gv_g-w_fg)\,dS>0.$$

Next consider the case when (A2) is satisfied. Since $I_3-\gamma(x)^{-1}=\gamma(x)^{-1/2}(\gamma(x)-I_3)\gamma(x)^{-1/2}$, one can find a positive constant C such that, for all $\xi\in\mathbf{R}^3$ $(I_3-\gamma(x)^{-1})\xi\cdot\xi\ge C|\xi|^2$. Hence a similar argument yields that

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\lambda_2}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}\text{dist}(D,\partial\Omega)}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}(gv_g-w_fg)\,dS\right)>0 \tag{2.18}$$

and this implies that there exists a $\tau_0>0$ such that for all $\tau\ge\tau_0$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}(gv_g-w_fg)\,dS>0.$$

Now formula (1.4) is a direct consequence of (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) and the identity

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x)f(x,t;\tau)-u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x)\right)dtdS=\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(g_fv-w_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dS.$$

□

2.4 Proof of Lemma 2.1

Let μ be the constant in (1.2). Set $\tilde{v}(x;\tau)=\tau^{\mu}v_g(x;\tau)$ and

$$\tilde{g}(x;\tau)=\tau^{\mu}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}f(x,t)dt,\ x\in\partial\Omega.$$

It suffices to prove (2.14) and (2.15) for $\tilde{v}$ instead of v_g.

The $\tilde{v}$ satisfies $(\triangle - \tau)\tilde{v} = 0$ in Ω and $\partial\tilde{v}/\partial\nu = \tilde{g}$ on $\partial\Omega$. In what follows we simply write $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{g}$ as v and g, respectively. We think that this makes no confusion. Thus from (1.2) one has: there exists positive constants C and τ_0 independent of $x \in \partial\Omega$ such that, for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ and all $\tau \geq \tau_0$

$$C^{-1} \leq g(x;\tau) \leq C. \tag{2.19}$$

Using the potential theory (cf. [12]), one has the expression

$$v(x;\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}\psi(y;\tau)dS_y,\ x \in \Omega,$$

where $\psi(\,\cdot\,;\tau) \in C(\partial\Omega)$ is the unique solution of the integral equation of the second kind on $\partial\Omega$:

$$\psi(y;\tau) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_y}\left(\frac{e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|y-y'|}}{|y-y'|}\right)\psi(y';\tau)dS_{y'} = g(y;\tau),\ y \in \partial\Omega. \tag{2.20}$$

It is well known that the operator

$$C(\partial\Omega) \ni \varphi \longmapsto S_{\partial\Omega}(\tau)\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega),$$

where

$$S_{\partial\Omega}(\tau)\varphi(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_y}\left(\frac{e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|y-y'|}}{|y-y'|}\right)\varphi(y')dS_{y'},\ y \in \partial\Omega$$

is bounded and its operator norm has a bound $O(\tau^{-1/2})$ as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus it follows from (2.19) and (2.20) that $\psi(\,\cdot\,;\tau)$ also has: there exists positive constants C' and τ_0 independent of $x \in \partial\Omega$ such that, for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ and all $\tau \geq \tau_0$

$$C'^{-1} \leq \psi(x;\tau) \leq C'. \tag{2.21}$$

Since

$$\nabla v(x;\tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi(y;\tau)\frac{e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}\left(\sqrt{\tau} + \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}dS_y,$$

one has

$$\int_D |\nabla v(x;\tau)|^2 dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} dS_y \int_{\partial\Omega} dS_{y'} \int_D dx e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|}e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-y'|}\Phi(x,y,y';\tau), \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(x,y,y';\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\psi(y;\tau)\psi(y';\tau)}{|x-y||x-y'|}\left(\sqrt{\tau} + \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)\left(\sqrt{\tau} + \frac{1}{|x-y'|}\right)\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\cdot\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

From (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23) we can easily obtain (2.14) with $\lambda_1 = -1$.

The problem is the proof of (2.15). We divide the integrand of (2.22) into two parts. Set $d_0 = \text{dist}\,(D, \partial\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{(x,y) \in \overline{D} \times \partial\Omega \,|\, |x-y| = d_0\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}$ coincides with the set of all $(x,y) \in \partial D \times \partial\Omega$ such that $|x-y| = d_0$.

In what follows we denote by $B_R(z)$ the open ball centered at a point z with radius R. Given $\delta > 0$ define

$$\mathcal{W}_\delta = \cup_{(x_0,y_0)\in\mathcal{M}}(\overline{D} \cap B_\delta(x_0)) \times (\partial\Omega \cap B_\delta(y_0)) \times (\partial\Omega \cap B_\delta(y_0)).$$

The set $\mathcal{W}_\delta$ is open in $\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega$ and contains the set of all (x,y,y) with $(x,y)\in\mathcal{M}$. Here we state two claims concerning the $\mathcal{W}_\delta$ whose proof is given in Appendix.

Claim 1. Given $\epsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta_1>0$ such that for all $(x,y,y')\in\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$ it holds that

$$\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\cdot\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}\ge 1-\epsilon,\ \ |x-y|\le d_0+\epsilon,\ \ |x-y'|\le d_0+\epsilon.$$

Claim 2. Given $\delta_1>0$ there exists a $\delta_2>0$ such that if $(x,y,y')\in\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega\setminus\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$, then $|x-y|+|x-y'|\ge 2d_0+\delta_2$.

Thus giving $\epsilon=1/2$ in claim 1 and choosing the corresponding δ_1, we have: if $(x,y,y')\in\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$, then

$$\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\cdot\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}\ge\frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows from this together with the left half of (2.21) and (2.23) that there exist constants C_1 and $\tau_0>$ such that, for all $(x,y,y')\in\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$ and $\tau\ge\tau_0$

$$\Phi(x,y,y';\tau)\ge C_1\tau.$$

On the other hand, using the right half of (2.21), it is easy to see that: there exist positive constants C_2 and $\tau_1>\tau_0$ such that, for all $(x,y,y')\in\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega$ and $\tau\ge\tau_1$

$$\Phi(x,y,y';\tau)\le C_2\tau.$$

Now choose δ_2 in claim 2 corresponding to δ_1 already chosen. Then we have $e^{-\sqrt{\tau}(|x-y|+|x-y'|)}\le e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}d_0-\sqrt{\tau}\delta_2}$ for any $(x,y,y')\in\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega\setminus\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$. Hence dividing the integral (2.22) into $\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$ and its compliment, one gets as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$

$$\tau^{-1}\int_D|\nabla v(x;\tau)|^2dx\ge C_1\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}}dS_ydS_{y'}dxe^{-\sqrt{\tau}(|x-y|+|x-y'|)}+O(e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}d_0-\sqrt{\tau}\delta_2}).\tag{2.24}$$

Choose a $(x_0,y_0)\in\mathcal{M}$. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$ and the inequality $|x-y|+|x-y'|\le 2|x-x_0|+2d_0+|y_0-y|+|y_0-y'|$ that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}}dS_ydS_{y'}dxe^{-\sqrt{\tau}(|x-y|+|x-y'|)}$$

$$\ge\int_{\overline{D}\cap B_{\delta_1}(x_0)}dx\int_{\partial\Omega\cap B_{\delta_1}(y_0)}dS_y\int_{\partial\Omega\cap B_{\delta_1}(y_0)}dS_{y'}e^{-\sqrt{\tau}(|x-y|+|x-y'|)}$$

$$\ge e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}d_0}\int_{\overline{D}\cap B_{\delta_1}(x_0)}e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-x_0|}dx\left(\int_{\partial\Omega\cap B_{\delta_1}(y_0)}e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|y_0-y|}dS_y\right)^2.$$

Now (2.15) with $\lambda_2=5/2$ is a direct consequence of this together with (2.24) and the following two claims.

Claim 3. For all $\delta>0$ we have

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}(\sqrt{\tau})^3\int_{D\cap B_\delta(x_0)}e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-x_0|}dx>0.$$

Claim 4. For all $\delta>0$ we have

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau\int_{\partial\Omega\cap B_\delta(y_0)}e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|y_0-y|}dS_y>0.$$

For the proof of these claims see Appendix.

□

3 Outline of the proof of Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4

Since from (1.5) we have

$$g_f(x;\tau)=\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x;\tau)\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\varphi(t)dt,$$

it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(g_f v-w_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dS=\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\varphi(t)dt\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(R_{I_3}(\tau)-R_\gamma(\tau))\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}|_{\partial\Omega}\right)dS\\ +\int_\Omega(\gamma-I_3)\nabla v\cdot\nabla\epsilon_f dx+e^{-\tau T}\int_\Omega u_f(x,T)v(x;\tau)dx.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

By virtue of (2.5) one knows that for both v in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 there exists a constant κ such that $\|u_f(\,\cdot\,,;T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}=O(e^{\kappa\sqrt{\tau}})$ as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$. This together (2.10) yields that, as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$

$$\int_\Omega(\gamma-I_3)\nabla v\cdot\nabla\epsilon_f dx+e^{-\tau T}\int_\Omega u_f(x,T)v(x;\tau)dx=O(e^{-\tau T/2}).\tag{3.2}$$

Here we recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist real numbers $\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3\in\mathbf{R}$ such that, for all $\omega\in S^2$, $p\in\mathbf{R}^3\setminus\overline{\Omega}$ and $y\in\mathbf{R}^3$*

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\mu_1}e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}h_D(\omega)}\int_D e^{2\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega}dx>0,\tag{3.3}$$

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\mu_2}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}d_D(p)}\int_D e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}dx>0\tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\mu_3}e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}R_D(y)}\int_D e^{2\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|}dx>0.\tag{3.5}$$

We do not mind the precise values of μ_1,μ_2,μ_3. Every case can be reduced to the case when D is given by an open ball since we are assuming that ∂D is smooth. See [8] for the proof of (3.3) and [11](or [10]) for the proof (3.4) and (3.5). Now it is a due course to see that a combination of (1.6), (2.12), (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3)/(3.4)/(3.5) yields (1.7)/(1.8)/(1.9).
□

4 Conclusion and open problems

We showed how the enclosure method can be applied to inverse initial boundary value problems over a finite time interval for parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients. We established four types of formulae. It should be emphasized that in the all formulae the initial temperature field *inside the body* is assumed to be a *known constant*. We think that this is a natural condition and can be realized without special care in practice. In fact, just make it cold by using a refrigerator if the size of the body is not so large!

Two of them are *new* in idea and yield: (I) a *depth* of unknown inclusions in a heat conductive body from the surface of the body with a single set of a heat flux and the corresponding temperature on the surface over a finite time interval (see Theorem 1.1); (II) the *minimum radius* of the open ball centered at a given point that contains unknown inclusions with a special explicit heat flux with a large parameter (see Theorem 1.4).

The point is the choice of the heat flux f and a solution v of the modified Helmholtz equation $(\triangle-\tau)v=0$ in Ω in the integral

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(vf-u_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)dtdS. \tag{4.1}$$

In (I) first f is given and we choose v by *solving* the Neumann problem for the modified Helmholtz equation in Ω whose Neumann data can be calculated from f; in (II) using a special v which is *growing everywhere* as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$, we specify the form of f.

The procedure suggested from (I) of extracting $\text{dist}\,(D,\partial\Omega)$ is extremely simple and summarized as follows.
(i) Give the heat flux f satisfying (1.2) for a $\mu\in\mathbf{R}$ across $\partial\Omega$ over the time interval $]0,\,T[$.
(ii) Measure the temperature $u_f(x,t)$ on $\partial\Omega$ over the time interval $]0,\,T[$.
(iii) Fix a large $\tau>0$ and compute the solution v_g of (1.3).
(iv) Compute the quantity

$$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}}\log\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x;\tau)f(x,t)-u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x;\tau)\right)dtdS\right|$$

as an approximation of $\text{dist}\,(D,\partial\Omega)$.

If D is near surface $\partial\Omega$ and isolated in a small part, the information $\text{dist}\,(D,\partial\Omega)$ may not be so useful, however, if D is deep inside or occupies a large part, then the set of all $x\in\Omega$ such that $\text{dist}\,(D,\partial\Omega)<d_{\partial\Omega}(x)$ may give a good estimation of D from above.

The method can be applied also to more complicated situations, for example, inclusions in a body with a known *inhomogeneous* isotropic or anisotropic conductivity apart from some technical difficulties or similar problems with *acoustic/elastic/electromagnetic waves*, etc.. Such applications belong to our future study.

A next challenging problem is: to clarify what information about D can be extracted from the asymptotic behaviour of integral (4.1) as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$ if f is *fixed*; the v is one of the *three special solutions* of the modified Helmholtz equation in Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, that is one of

$$v(x;\tau,\omega)=e^{\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega},\ x\in\mathbf{R}^3,\ \omega\in S^2,$$

$$v(x;\tau,p)=\frac{e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}}{|x-p|},\ x\in\mathbf{R}^3\setminus\{p\},\ p\in\mathbf{R}^3\setminus\overline{\Omega},$$

$$v(x;\tau,y)=\frac{e^{\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|}-e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-y|}}{|x-y|},\ x\in\mathbf{R}^3\setminus\{y\},\ v(y;\tau,y)=2\sqrt{\tau},\ y\in\mathbf{R}^3.$$

This remains open at the present time.

Acknowledgments

MI was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)(No. 21540162) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. MK was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)(No. 19540183) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

5 Appendix. Proof of claims

5.1 Claim 1

Define

$$F(x,y,y')=\left(1-\frac{x-y}{\vert x-y\vert}\cdot\frac{x-y'}{\vert x-y'\vert}\right)+(\vert x-y\vert-d_0)+(\vert x-y'\vert-d_0),\ (x,y,y')\in\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega.$$

Since $\vert x-y\vert\ge d_0$ and $(x-y)/\vert x-y\vert\cdot(x-y')/\vert x-y'\vert\le 1$ for $(x,y,y')\in\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega$, it suffices to prove that: given $\epsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta_1>0$ such that $F(x,y,y')\le\epsilon$ for all $(x,y,y')\in\mathcal{W}_{\delta_1}$. Assume that this is not true. There exists a $\epsilon_0>0$ and a sequence $(x_l,y_l,y_l')\in\mathcal{W}_{1/l}$, $l=1,2,\cdots$ such that $F(x_l,y_l,y_l')\ge\epsilon_0$. By the definition of $\mathcal{W}_{1/l}$ we know that, for each l there exists a $(p_l,q_l)\in\mathcal{M}$ such that $\vert x_l-p_l\vert<1/l$, $\vert y_l-q_l\vert<1/l$ and $\vert y_l'-q_l\vert<1/l$. Since $\overline{D}$ and $\partial\Omega$ are compact, one can choose a subsequence $l_1,l_2,\cdots$ of $l=1,2,\cdots$ in such a way that the limits $\lim_{j\longrightarrow\infty}x_{l_j}=x\in\overline{D}$, $\lim_{j\longrightarrow\infty}p_{l_j}=p\in\overline{D}$, $\lim_{j\longrightarrow\infty}y_{l_j}=y\in\partial\Omega$, $\lim_{j\longrightarrow\infty}y_{l_j}'=y'\in\partial\Omega$ and $\lim_{j\longrightarrow\infty}q_{l_j}=q\in\partial\Omega$ exist. Clearly it holds that $x=p$ and $y=y'=q$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is closed, one gets $(x,y)\in\mathcal{M}$ and thus $\vert x-y\vert=d_0$. This together with $y=y'$ gives $F(x,y,y')=0$. On the other hand, since $F(x_{l_j},y_{l_j},y_{l_j}')\ge\epsilon_0$, one gets $F(x,y,y')\ge\epsilon_0$. This is a contradiction.
$\square$

5.2 Claim 2

Assume that the statement is not true. There exist a $\delta_0>0$ and a sequence $(x_l,y_l,y_l')\in\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega\setminus\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0}$, $l=1,2,\cdots$ such that $\vert x_l-y_l\vert+\vert x_l-y_l'\vert<2d_0+1/l$. Since $\overline{D}$ and $\partial\Omega$ are compact, if necessary replacing the sequence with a suitable subsequence, one may assume that the limits $\lim_{l\longrightarrow\infty}x_l=x\in\overline{D}$, $\lim_{l\longrightarrow\infty}y_l=y\in\partial\Omega$ and $\lim_{l\longrightarrow\infty}y_l'=y'\in\partial\Omega$ exist. Since $\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0}$ is open, one has $(x,y,y')\in\overline{D}\times\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega\setminus\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0}$.

On the other hand, since $(\vert x_l-y_l\vert-d_0)+(\vert x_l-y_l'\vert-d_0)<1/l$, $\vert x_l-y_l\vert\ge d_0$ and $\vert x_l-y_l'\vert\ge d_0$, we obtain $\vert x-y\vert=\vert x-y'\vert=d_0$. This means that $(x,y)\in\mathcal{M}$ and $(x,y')\in\mathcal{M}$. Since $x\in\partial D$, using local coordinates at x and y, one can easily show that $(y-x)/d_0=\nu_x$ and similarly $(y'-x)/d_0=\nu_x$. This yields $y=y'$ and thus $(x,y,y')\in\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0}$. This is a contradiction.
$\square$

5.3 Claim 3

Given $\delta>0$ one can choose a $\delta_0>0$ with $\delta_0<\delta$ such that:there exists a smooth function g on $\mathbf{R}^2$ with compact support such that $g(0,0)=0$ and $D\cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)=\{x_0+\sigma_1\boldsymbol{e}_1+$

$\sigma_2\boldsymbol{e_2} - s\nu_{x_0}\,|\,\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2+s^2<\delta_0^2, s>g(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\}$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{e_2}$ are unit tangent vectors at x_0 and orthogonal each other.

One can choose a positive constant C in such a way that, for all $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\in\mathbf{R}^2$ it holds that $|g(\sigma)|\le C|\sigma|$. Let $\tau\ge 1$. We can easily see that if $s>C|\sigma|$, then $s>\sqrt{\tau}g(\sigma/\sqrt{\tau})$. This together with change of variables yields

$$(\sqrt{\tau})^3\int_{D\cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)} e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-x_0|}dx \ge \int_{s>\sqrt{\tau}g(\sigma/\sqrt{\tau}),\,|\sigma|^2+s^2<(\sqrt{\tau}\delta_0)^2} e^{-2\sqrt{|\sigma|^2+s^2}}d\sigma ds$$

$$\ge \int_{s>C|\sigma|,\,|\sigma|^2+s^2<(\sqrt{\tau}\delta_0)^2} e^{-2\sqrt{|\sigma|^2+s^2}}d\sigma ds.$$

Since

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\int_{s>C|\sigma|,\,|\sigma|^2+s^2<(\sqrt{\tau}\delta_0)^2} e^{-2\sqrt{|\sigma|^2+s^2}}d\sigma ds = \int_{s>C|\sigma|} e^{-2\sqrt{|\sigma|^2+s^2}}d\sigma ds<\infty,$$

we have the desired conclusion.
□

5.4 Claim 4

Given $\delta>0$ one can choose a $\delta_0>0$ with $\delta_0<\delta$ such that:there exists a smooth function h on $\mathbf{R}^2$ with compact support such that $h(0,0)=0$ and $\partial\Omega\cap B_{\delta_0}(y_0)=\{y_0+\sigma_1\boldsymbol{e}_1+\sigma_2\boldsymbol{e}_2-h(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\nu_{y_0}\,|\,\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2+h(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)^2<\delta_0^2\}$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{e_2}$ are unit tangent vectors at y_0 and orthogonal each other. Note that h also satisfies that, for all $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\in\mathbf{R}^2$ $|h(\sigma)|\le C|\sigma|$, where C is a positive constant. We see that if $|\sigma|<\delta_0/(\sqrt{1+C^2})$, then $\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2+h(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)^2<\delta_0^2$. This together with a change of variables yields

$$\int_{\partial\Omega\cap B_{\delta_0}(y_0)} e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|y_0-y|}dS_y \ge \int_{|\sigma|<\delta_0/\sqrt{1+C^2}} e^{-\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{|\sigma|^2+h(\sigma)^2}}\sqrt{1+|\nabla h(\sigma)|^2}d\sigma$$

$$\ge \int_{|\sigma|<\delta_0/\sqrt{1+C^2}} e^{-\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{1+C^2}|\sigma|}d\sigma$$

$$=(\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{1+C^2})^{-2}\int_{|\sigma|<\sqrt{\tau}\delta_0} e^{-|\sigma|}d\sigma.$$

Now one gets the desired conclusion.
□

References

[1] Daido, Y., Kang, H. and Nakamura, G., A probe method for the inverse boundary value problem of non-stationary heat equations, Inverse Problems, **23**(2007), 1787-1800.

[2] Daido, Y., Lei, Y., Liu, J.J. and Nakamura, G., Numerical implemantations of dynamical probe method for non-stationary heat equation, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **211**(2009), 510-521.

[3] Dautray, R. and Lions, J-L., Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for sciences and technology, Evolution problems I, Vol. **5**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[4] Elayyan, A. and Isakov, V., On uniqueness of recovery of the discontinuous conductivity coefficient of a parabolic equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **28**(1997), 49-59.

[5] Ikehata, M., Size estimation of inclusion, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl., **6**(1998), 127-140.

[6] Ikehata, M., Reconstruction of the shape of the inclusion by boundary measurements, Comm. PDE., **23**(1998), 1459-1474.

[7] Ikehata, M., Enclosing a polygonal cavity in a two-dimensional bounded domain from Cauchy data, Inverse Problems, **15**(1999), 1231-1241.

[8] Ikehata, M., Reconstruction of the support function for inclusion from boundary measurements, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl., **8**(2000), 367-378.

[9] Ikehata, M., Extracting discontinuity in a heat conductive body. One-space dimensional case, Applicable Analysis, **86**(2007), no. 8, 963-1005.

[10] Ikehata, M., The enclosure method for inverse obstacle scattering problems with dynamaical data over a finite time interval, arXiv:0907.0109v2.

[11] Ikehata, M. and Kawashita, M., The enclosure method for the heat equation, Inverse Problems, **25**(2009) 075005(10pp).

[12] Mizohata, S., Theory of partial differential equations, Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge, 1973.

[13] Norris, J. R., Heat kernel asymptotics and the distance function in Lipschitz Riemannian manifolds, Acta Math., **179**(1997), 79-103.

[14] Varadhan, S.R.S., On the behaviour of the fundamental solution of the heat equation with variable coefficients, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math., **20**(1967), 431-455.

e-mail address
ikehata@math.sci.gunma-u.ac.jp
kawasita@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp